

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BARRY J. PORTMAN Federal Public Defender JODI LINKER Assistant Federal Public Defender 19th Floor Federal Building 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7700 Counsel for Defendant FENG IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR-11-70565 MAG-(NC)	
12) Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
13	v.) ORDER TO CONTINUE AND EXCLUSION UNDER THE SPEEDY	
14	DWARD FENG,) TRIAL ACT AND RULE 5.1 EDWARD FENG,	
15	Defendant.	
16)	
17	The parties jointly request that, subject to the Court's approval, the preliminary	
18	hearing/arraignment presently set for November 3, 2011 be continued to November 22, 2011 a	ıt
19	9:30 am.	
20	Defendant Edward Feng is charged in a criminal complaint with violating provisions of	f
21	the federal Food Drug and Cosmetic Act (FDCA). The parties are in negotiations on a pre-	
22	indictment resolution of the case. Additionally, defense counsel requires additional time to	
23	effectively prepare the defendant's case, including investigation and legal research of matters	
24	critical to the case. Accordingly, the parties jointly request that the preliminary hearing or	
25	arraignment be continued from November 3, 2011 to November 22, 2011.	
26	For the above reasons, the parties stipulate there is good cause – taking into account the	e
	US v. Feng, CR-11-70565 MAG (JCS); STIP & [PROPOSED] ORD. TO CONTINUE 1	

Case 3:11-cr-00932-MAG Document 18 Filed 10/31/11 Page 2 of 2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

public interest in the prompt disposition of this case – to extend the time limit under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 for the preliminary hearing or arraignment from November 3, 2011 to November 22, 2011. The parties further agree that the time from November 3, 2011 to November 22, 2011 should be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b), and that failing to exclude that time would unreasonably deny the defendant and his counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties further agree that the ends of justice would be served by excluding the time from November 3, 2011 to November 22, 2011 from computation under the Speedy Trial Act and that the need for the exclusion outweighs the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. IT IS SO STIPULATED. October 26, 2011 DATED KIRSTIN M. AULT **Assistant United States Attorney** October 26, 2011 JODI LINKER **DATED** Assistant Federal Public Defender IT IS SO ORDERED. 10/31/11 **DATED**